081583,062



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FREST NAMED APPLICAN 08/583, 062 01/03/96 MURAMAN1 ABM1/1011 FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS 2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO MI 49008-1699	T ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1 HURULY - LAIS: THERKÜIEXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1306
A3M1/1011 FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS 2026 RANBLING ROAD	THERKOGEXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS 2026 RAMBLING ROAD	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS 2026 RAMBLING ROAD	
2026 RAMBLING ROAD .	
	1306
KALAMAZOS MI 43000 1033	
	DATE MAILED: 10/11/98

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosec	cution as to the merits is closed in
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three(3) m.c. chavar is lenges, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond wapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be a 36(a).	rithin the period for response will cause
position of Claims	
(Claim(s) 1-6	is/are pending in the applica
Of the above, claim(s)	
Ctaim(s)	
(Claim(s) 1-6	
Claim(s)	
Claims ar	•
plication Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
The drawing(s) filed onis/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	(d).
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).
Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	e).
achment(s)	-r
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) FileQ with Interview Summary, PTO-413	case on January 3, 199
Interview Summary, PTO-413	,
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTC-152	

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial Number: 08/583,062

Art Unit: 1306

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over either Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) or Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416). The claims are considered to read on each of Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) or Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416). However, if a difference exists between the claims and each of Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) or Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) or

Art Unit: 1306

the elements of each of Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) or Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416). It would have been obvious to optimize the elements of each of Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) or Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416) to enhance separation.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416) in view of Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394). At best, the claim differs from Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416) in reciting the pore size. Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416) calls for a carrier having appropriate voids on column 4, lines 49-50. Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) (column 3, lines 35-36) discloses an appropriate pore diameter is from 10 Angstroms to 100 microns. It would have been obvious to use a pore diameter from 10 Angstroms to 100 microns because Yuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,786,416) calls for a carrier having appropriate voids on column 4, lines 49-50 and Okamoto (U.S. Patent No. 4,818,394) (column 3, lines 35-36) discloses an appropriate pore diameter is from 10 Angstroms to 100 microns.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362. and 6 the low

EGT/7

October 8, 1996

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 136